

AI TRANSPARENCY

A practical roadmap for nonprofit teams

1. LOCATE THE QUESTION

Article 4	AI literacy Support people using AI on your behalf.
Article 5	Prohibited practices Check whether the use is prohibited.
Article 6 / Chapter III	High-risk systems Assess classification, role and applicable dates.
Article 50	Transparency Check the specific interaction or content trigger.
Chapter V	GPAI models Model-provider duties have a separate scope.

2. FOLLOW THE ARTICLE 50 DECISION

- 1 ROLE** Does the NGO use the system, provide it under its own name, or both?
- 2 TRIGGER** AI interaction: 50(1). Synthetic outputs: 50(2). Emotion / biometric analysis: 50(3). Deepfakes or public-interest text: 50(4).
- 3 EXCEPTION** For public-interest text: human review or editorial control AND editorial responsibility. Artistic deepfakes have tailored disclosure.
- 4 NOTICE** Where required, tell people clearly by first interaction or exposure. Check accessibility. Technical marking and a public notice serve different purposes.
- 5 OWNER** Name the reviewer and publishing owner. Keep the approved version and the reason for the decision. This is a practical workflow recommendation.

Technical checks support review. A detector score cannot settle a disclosure decision.

My next step: _____ Owner: _____ Date: _____

Educational orientation, not legal advice or a complete compliance assessment. Checked 20 October 2026.

AI Act: Articles 3-6, 16, 26, 50, 53, 111 and 113. Official provisions:
ai-act-service-desk.ec.europa.eu/en/ai-act | AI4NGO: info@ai4ngo.org